

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/995,649	1	11/29/2001	Jarmo Hiipakka	04770.00029	1672
22907	7590	06/01/2005		EXAMINER	
BANNER &			BAYERL, RAYMOND J		
1001 G STREET N W SUITE 1100				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20001	2173		

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/995,649	HIIPAKKA, JARMO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Raymond J. Bayerl	2173		

	Raymond J. Bayeri	21/3	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, o	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further contained. They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>5, 32, 36 - 37, 39 - amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</u>			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>5, 32, 36 - 37, 39 - 41</u> .			•
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 4, 6 - 31, 33 - 35, 38, 42 - 46, 48</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but The reference Leonowich (US #6,195,004 B1), in view of #6,404,442 B1) are sufficient to render the rejected claim a group of messages as might be received by the system than those that do not. Both messages and auditory ico in that user context can form the basis of messages that better conform to the user's needs, a motivation that is referred that a mobile terminal is disclosed by Leonomia.	of either or both of Hirohama (US# ms obvious under 35 USC 103. Le m over time, since those that products are handled by Leonowich. Hir that are output by a mobile terminal demade clear in both of the reference CON become associated with a vis	5,797,125), Hilpert, Jr onowich specifically a ice the EARCON have ohama then supplementation. The combined s. Then, the visual/actual indication on a dis-	et al. (US applies priority to e higher priority ents Leonowich, system would uditory coupling splay screen.
indicators as per Hilpert. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(DTO/SB/09 or DTO 4440) D	in (n)	
13. Other:	(F10/30/00 01 F10-1449) Paper (NO(8)	

W

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 9

RAYMOND J. BAYERL PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2173

25 May 2005